

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,390	02/01/2002	Hal S. Padgett	P-LG 4878	4639
74352 NOVICI BIO	7590 09/04/2008 FECHILC		EXAMINER	
3333 VACA VALLEY PARKWAY			STRZELECKA, TERESA E	
VACAVILLE	, CA 95688		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary Application No. | Applicant(s) | 10/066,390 | PADGETT ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | TERESA E. STRZELECKA | 1637 | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) TERESA E. STRZELECKA. (3)Hal Padgett.

	TERESA E. STRZELECKA	1637					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) TERESA E. STRZELECKA.	(3) <u>Hal Padgett</u> .						
(2) Wayne Fitzmaurice.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 29 August 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)[☐ applicant's representative	:]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Arnold et al. and Birkenkamp et al.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	j)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending rejections were discussed in view of Applicants' arguments about non-obviousness of the results obtained by the claimed method and conditions used to obtain them. Examiner explaimed her position on the applicability of the cited references to the claimed subject matter which now does not contain limitations to any specific results or experimental conditions. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Teresa E Strzelecka/	August 29, 2008						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637							